## The influence of the private insurance industry on the UK welfare reforms.

## **ABSTRACT**

The Work Capability Assessment (WCA) is the functional assessment used in the UK by the Department for Work and Pensions to assess eligibility for the Employment and Support Allowance. This is the most recent income replacement benefit for chronically sick and disabled claimants who are unfit to work. Despite five consecutive annual reviews of the assessment model, the UK government continues to disregard increasing evidence that the WCA is causing preventable harm, with all official reports overlooking the historic influence of an American insurance corporate giant on the UK welfare reforms.

Key words: work capability assessment (WCA); biopsychosocial model (BPS)

Much has been written about the Work Capability Assessment (WCA), including the fact that it was recently deemed as being fatally flawed by the Work and Pensions Select Committee<sup>1</sup> (WPSC): "The flaws in the Employment and Support Allowance (ESA) system are so grave that simply "rebranding" the assessment used to determine eligibility for ESA (the Work Capability Assessment WCA) by appointing a new contractor will not solve the problems, says the Work and Pensions Committee in a report published today." 1,2,3

The WCA was introduced by the New Labour government in 2008 and is exclusively conducted by Atos Healthcare. The assessment is mandatory for recipients of Incapacity Benefit being migrated to the Employment and Support Allowance (ESA) and for all new ESA applicants. Following much controversy, Atos Healthcare announced that they are to withdraw early from the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) contract to conduct the WCA.

The plan to 'dismantle the welfare state' was first suggested by the 1982 Thatcher government<sup>4</sup> and has been relentlessly pursued by successive United Kingdom (UK) governments. Hence, in the Coalition government's response to the select committee's evidence,<sup>5</sup> the Minister for Disabled People, Mark Harper MP, disregarded the very detailed information provided by the WPSC report<sup>3</sup> that clearly listed the many serious problems still faced by those who must endure the WCA to access the ESA benefit.

Government resistance to funding long-term out of work disability benefits followed the 2005 publication of the monograph: The Scientific and Conceptual Basis of Incapacity Benefits (SCBIB) by Gordon Waddell and Mansel Aylward<sup>6</sup>, followed by the 2006 report: ?Is work good for your health and well-being by Gordon Waddell and Kim Burton.<sup>7</sup>

What is constantly overlooked is that both these influential reports were commissioned by the DWP. They were both produced when Aylward and Waddell were funded by Unum Provident Insurance at the Unum Provident Centre for Psychosocial and Disability Research (the Centre) at Cardiff University, with funding by the American corporate

insurance giant, UnumProvident<sub>TM</sub>, from 2003-2009. Aylward is listed as the DWP Chief Medical Officer until April 2005<sup>8</sup> and is identified as being appointed as the new Director of the Centre from 2004.<sup>9</sup>

The influence of Unum Provident Insurance is demonstrated in the memorandums provided for past WPSC reports<sup>9,10</sup> that clearly list the transformation of Incapacity Benefit to ESA. The requirement to 'resist diagnosis', 'revise the 'sick note'', 'encourage the Government to focus on ability and not disability', 'change the name of Incapacity Benefit' and 'benefits not to be given on the basis of a certain disability or illness but on capacity assessments'<sup>9</sup> have all come to pass as Unum Provident Insurance have influenced UK Government welfare policy since 1994.<sup>11</sup>

At UnumProvident we have a non-medical, enabling model of rehabilitation and we are working with our partners at the UnumProvident Centre for Psychosocial and Disability Research at Cardiff University to better understand what places people at risk of long-term or chronic illness.

Further information about this model can be made available

to the committee. (item 24)
Supplementary memorandum submitted by UnumProvident(TM) - 2006

The SCBIB<sup>6</sup> was, essentially, the blue print for the future introduction of the WCA, using a discredited bio-psychosocial (BPS) model of assessment as planned following the New Labour conference in November 2001: Malingering and Illness Deception, <sup>12</sup> with Aylward as a contributor and 'malingering' very firmly planted as being the motivation for claimants of disability benefits. Guilty until proven innocent was the mind-set that continues to this day. 'And the methodology used by Waddell and Aylward is the same one that informs the work of UnumProvident.'(TM)<sup>12</sup>

The 2001 New Labour conference, together with the 2005<sup>6</sup> and 2006<sup>7</sup> reports commissioned by the DWP, led to the 2006 Green Paper: A New Deal for Welfare: empowering people to work – an independent assessment of the arguments for the proposed Incapacity Benefit reform. <sup>13</sup> The SCBIB was the main reference used to justify future drastic welfare reforms in the Green Paper, which was exposed by Emeritus Professor Alison Ravetz as being seriously flawed. <sup>14</sup>

On closer examination, it appears that this entire body of work [SCBIB] is largely self-referential – that is, it appeals for validation to itself and is framed within the same political and policy agenda... p6

It is not research undertaken in the spirit of open enquiry. It is commissioned research and, as such, pre-disposed towards ideologically determined outcomes. p7

Critique: DWP 2006 Green Paper:
A New Deal for Welfare: empowering people to work
Professor Alison Ravetz<sup>14</sup>

Not to be confused with a medical assessment, the WCA is described as a 'functional assessment' using an IT tick-box questionnaire and totally disregarding diagnosis.

The influential 2007 report by the unelected Lord Freud, <sup>16</sup> promoted the use of the private sector in welfare reforms when adviser to the New Labour Party and before being appointed as the Minister for Welfare Reforms in 2010 by the Coalition government. Subsequently, the WCA was sub-contracted to Atos Healthcare in 2008 and according to the General Medical Council, Atos Healthcare 'have total immunity from all medical regulation.' <sup>17,18</sup>

The WCA uses a manipulated bio-psychosocial (BPS) model of assessment, designed in consultation with Unum Provident Insurance <sup>17,18,19,20</sup> and is a replica of the discredited healthcare insurance assessment model historically used by Unum Provident Insurance to resist funding insurance claims. <sup>21</sup> The LiMA IT programme used for the WCA was designed by Atos Origin IT Ltd, the parent company of Atos Healthcare, for exclusive use for DWP assessments. <sup>22</sup>

The original 1977 BPS model of assessment was attributed to psychiatrist George Engel.<sup>23</sup> Engel's BPS hypothesis was to consider the social and psychological factors, together with the biological factors impacting on illness. It was an unproven theory that needed research. Ten years ago Professor Christopher Butler and colleagues produced a paper demonstrating that the BPS model of assessment was 'found wanting' and inadequate.

'Medically unexplained symptoms: the biopsychosocial model found wanting' was referring to the original Engel BPS hypothesis. Yet, a manipulated version of the Engel BPS assessment model, emphasising the possible psychological factors of disability and disregarding the biomedical factors, is used by Unum Provident Insurance and by the DWP as a method of removing as many chronically sick and disabled people as possible from funding, or preventing access to it. 17,18,19,20,21

Unum Provident Insurance were fined \$31.7 million in 2003 in a class action law suit in California for running 'disability denial factories' and \$15 million in 2005 by the California Department of Insurance Commissioner, John Garamendi, who stated that 'Unum Provident is an outlaw company. It is a company that has operated in an illegal fashion for years... '25,26

By 2006 the State insurance commissioners of 48 American States approved a settlement in an investigation of the Unum Provident Corporation that required the healthcare insurance giant to reconsider 200,000 claims and to pay \$15million in fines<sup>27</sup> whilst not forgetting, at the same time as these fines in America for malpractice, the company were funding Aylward and Waddell at the Centre at Cardiff University.

Unum Provident Insurance changed its name to Unum Insurance in 2007 to distance itself from increasing negative publicity for identified malpractice. Yet, the only opinions considered by the DWP regarding the benefits of work and the assessment model used to assess disability benefit claimants are those of Aylward and Waddell, whose research was sponsored until 2009 by UnumProvident<sub>TM</sub> Insurance; identified by the American Association of Insurance in 2008 as the second most discredited insurance company in America.<sup>28</sup>

In January 2007 Professor John Langbein of the Yale School of Law produced a paper identified as 'The Unum Provident Scandal'<sup>29</sup> that exposed Unum's practice of disability denial, and in November 2007 BBC News reported that the British government were being advised by an American insurance company with a reputation for 'racketeering'.<sup>30</sup>

Employees interviewed on the Dateline program disclosed that the claims that were "the most vulnerable" to pressures for bad faith termination were

those involving "so-called subjective illnesses, illnesses that don't show up on x-rays or MRIs, like mental illness, chronic pain, migraines, or even Parkinson's." The Dateline story pointed to an internal company email cautioning a group of claims staff that they had one week remaining to "close," that is, deny, eighteen more claims in order to meet desired targets.

Trust Law as Regulatory Law: The Unum/Provident Scandal and Judicial Review of Benefit Denials under ERISA Professor John Langbein: Yale Law School 2007 p1318 <sup>29</sup>

Concerns have been expressed in a government inquiry regarding Aylward's long association with Unum (Provident) Insurance, including links with the American corporate insurance giant when Chief Medical Adviser at the DWP from 1996 – 2005. To date there has been no formal investigation following the evidence by Professor Malcolm Hooper to the 2005 Gibson Parliamentary Inquiry<sup>31</sup>: 'There would also appear to have been a clear financial conflict of interest and possible breach of Civil Service protocol, in that a senior Civil Servant such as Aylward could not have been unaware while he was in post at the DWP that Unum Provident was already financing his next employment, which would allow him to indulge in his existing conviction that syndromes such as ME/CFS are affected by 'cultural' factors and are 'behavioural' in nature. It is also a matter of concern that a senior Civil Servant accepted sponsorship from a company with Unum's track record.'<sup>31</sup>

After various freedom of information requests, the DWP published the mortality figures of the claimants who had died in 11 months in 2011 whilst claiming ESA, <sup>32</sup> with 10,600 people dying in total and 1300 people dying after being removed from the guaranteed monthly benefit, placed into the work related activity group regardless of diagnosis, forced to look for work and then died trying. Following the public outrage once the figures were published, the DWP have consistently refused to publish updated death totals.

Unum (Provident) Insurance exposed their significant influence in the memorandum following the publication of the Welfare Reform Green Paper. Their influence has also been exposed since 2011 by the Disability News Service, with reports by the BMA and the RCN that confirmed that the WCA was causing preventable harm as chronically sick and disabled people now starve to death in the UK.

Constant toxic rhetoric by the Secretary of State and various DWP Ministers from the Coalition government, supported by the national press quoting their often extreme comments, have successfully convinced the British public that vast numbers of chronically sick and disabled people are 'shirkers and scroungers' and disability hate crimes are the highest ever recorded, as identified in a 2014 bulletin by the Home Office team: 'Hate Crimes, England and Wales, 2013/14' <sup>37</sup>

Fifteen years ago Unum (Provident) Insurance was exposed in Parliament in the 1999 Permanent Health Insurance debate,<sup>38</sup>where MPs identified the suffering of constituents as Unum (Provident) Insurance refused to pay out on income protection insurance policies. Given this company's proven record of sustained misconduct and recorded malpractice over many years, one must surely enquire as to why this company were invited to be advisers to the UK government on welfare funding?

The constant reference to 'disabled people' by DWP Ministers, whilst disregarding those with catastrophic illnesses, adds to the ongoing human suffering of the most vulnerable people in the UK. They are far too ill to consider working<sup>39</sup> but they now live in fear of claiming the income related benefit needed for their very survival, as the Coalition government consider all ESA applicants as potential malingerers, regardless of what can be a devastating diagnosis.<sup>40</sup>

January 2015

## **REFERENCES:**

1. W&P 2014: ESA needs fundamental redesign, says MPs: July 2014 DWP Work & Pensions Select Committee 23<sup>rd</sup> July 2014:

News release (accessed 17<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committeesaz/commonsselect/work-and-pensions-committee/news/esa-wcareportsubstantive/

2. Disability & Society Journal: Deamonised, impoverished and now forced into isolation: the fate of disabled people under austerity: Disability & Society:8<sup>th</sup>July 2013:

DOI: 10.1080/09687599.2013.808087 (accessed 21st Dec 2014)

- W&P 2014: Work and Pensions Committee First Report: The ESA and WCA Full report. (accessed 21<sup>st</sup> Dec 2014) http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201415/cmselect/cmwo rpen/302/30202.htm
- 4. The Guardian: 28<sup>th</sup> Dec 2012: Margaret Thatcher's role in plan to dismantle welfare state revealed (accessed 19<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) http://www.theguardian.com/politics/2012/dec/28/margaret-thatcherrole-planto-dismantle-welfare-state- revealed
- 5. DWP 2014: November, p4: Government Response to the House of Commons Work & Pensions Select Committee's Report on Employment & Support Allowance & the Work Capability Assessment, First Report of session 2014-15 (accessed 17<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/ attachment \_data/file/380265/esa-and-wca-work-andpensionscommitteeresponse.pdf
- Waddell & Aylward 2005: The Scientific & Conceptual Basis of Incapacity Benefits Gordon Waddell & Mansel Aylward ISBN: 9780117035843

- 7. Waddell & Burton 2006: *Is work good for your health and wellbeing?*Gordon Waddell & A Kim Burton (accessed 17<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014)
  https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment data/file/214326/hwwb-is-work-good-for-you.pdf
- 8. Institute of Primary Care & Public Health Professor Mansel Aylward http://medicine.cf.ac.uk/person/prof-mansel-aylward/research/ (accessed 19<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014)
- Supplementary memorandum submitted by Unum Provident following the publication of the Welfare Reform Green Paper 2006 (accessed 19<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm200506/cmselect/cmwo rpen/616/616we37.htm (2006)
- Memorandum submitted by UnumProvident (EDP 03) (accessed 22<sup>nd</sup> Dec 2014)
   http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm200203/cmselect/cmwo rpen/401/3021203.htm (2002)
- 11. Private Eye: In the back: Welfare reform mutual benefits Issue 1302 11<sup>th</sup> November 2011: http://blacktrianglecampaign.org/2011/11/10/private-eyeraises-questionsregarding-unum-again/ (accessed 24<sup>th</sup> December 2014)
- 12. Soundings Journal: 2007: New Labour, the Market State and the End of Welfare Jonathan Rutherford Issue 36 summer 2007 (accessed 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) http://blacktrianglecampaign.org/2011/09/07/new-labour-themarket-state-andthe-end-of-welfare/
- 13. DWP: A new deal for welfare: Empowering people to work (accessed 19<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_dat a/file/2 72235/6730.pdf
- 14. Ravetz Alison: 2006: March: Green Paper: A New Deal for Welfare Centre for Disability Studies, Leeds University(accessed 9th Jan 2015) http://disability-studies.leeds.ac.uk/files/library/ravetz-Green-Paper-IB-critique.pdf
- 15. Litchfield 2013 p7: An independent review of the WCA, year 4, 2013 https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment \_data/file/265351/work-capability-assessment-year-4-paullitchfield.pdf (accessed 18th Dec 2014)

- 16. Freud David 2007, p5: *Reducing dependency, increasing opportunity:* options for the future of welfare to work. An independent report for the DWP by David Freud. May 2007 page 5. ISBN: 978 1 847 12 193 6
- 17. Stewart M 2011: Welfare Reform Redress for the disabled http://blacktrianglecampaign.org/2012/09/08/dwpunumatos-scandalwelfarereform-redress- for-disabled-people-report-by-mostewart-wrafrtd/ (accessed 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014)
- 18. Stewart M 2010: *Atos Healthcare or Disability Denial Factories* http://www.whywaitforever.com/dwpatosveteranssummary.html (accessed 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014)
- Jolly D 2012, March: A Tale of Two Models: Disabled people vs Unum, Atos, Government and disability charities http://disabilitystudies.leeds.ac.uk/files/library/jolly-A-Tale-of-two-Models-Leeds1.pdf (accessed 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014)
- 20. Stewart M 2012: Oct: *Government use the might of American insurance giant to destroy UK safety net.* (accessed 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) http://disabilitystudies.leeds.ac.uk/files/library/GVT%20refuses%20resp onsibility%20for%20cr imes%20against%20humanity%20-%20FINAL%20-%203rd%20May.pdf
- 21. BACH 2011: *UNUM and Business: how Unum have influenced UK Government policy to the detriment of disabled people.* http://www.whywaitforever.com/dwpatosbusinessunum.html (accessed 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014)
- 22. BACH 2013: *LiMA tick box assessment* http://www.whywaitforever.com/dwpatoslima.html (accessed 18th Dec 2014)
- 23. Engel 1977: *The need for a new model: a challenge for biomedicine*. Dr George Engel (accessed 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) *Science Journal; 8<sup>th</sup> April 1977, Volume 196 number 4286 p 129-136*http://www.sciencemag.org/content/196/4286/129.short
- 24. The Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine: Medically unexplained symptoms: the biopsychosocial model found wanting: JRSM 2004, 97:219-222 Christopher C Butler, Martyn Evans, David Greaves, Sharon Simpson 2004 http://jrs.sagepub.com/content/97/5/219.full.pdf+html (accessed 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014)

- 25. Unum Complaints: "An Outlaw Company" Online Lawyer Source http://www.onlinelawyersource.com/unum/complaints/ (accessed 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014)
- 26. LA Times: 2005: Oct 3<sup>rd</sup>: State fines insurer, orders reforms of disability cases. http://www.latimes.com/world/la-fi-disability3oct03-story.html
- 27. Insure.com: State Insurance Commissioners reach settlement with Unum Provident: 31<sup>st</sup>
   March 2006 (accessed 18th December 2014)
   http://www.insure.com/health-insurance/unum-settlement.html
- 28. AAJ: 2008: *The ten worst insurance companies in America*. The American Association of Justice 2008: (accessed 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) https://www.justice.org/docs/TenWorstInsuranceCompanies.pdf
- 29. Langbein 2007: p1318

  The Unum Provident Scandal The Yale Law School

  http://digitalcommons.law.yale.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1483&context=f
  ss\_papers

(accessed 18th Dec 2014)

- 30. BBC News 2007: NOV: Government advised by 'racketeers' 6<sup>th</sup> Nov 2007 news video (accessed 18<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) http://www.meactionuk.org.uk/UNUM\_on\_BBC\_News\_061107.wm v
  - 31 Hooper 2005: Concerns about a commercial conflict of interest underlying the

DWP handbook entry on ME and CFS

The Gibson Parliamentary Inquiry – Dec 2005 (accessed 20<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) http://www.meactionuk.org.uk/HOOPER\_CONCERNS\_ABOUT\_A\_COMME RCIAL\_CONFLICT\_OF\_INTEREST.htm

- 32 DWP July 2012: *Incapacity Benefits: Deaths of recipients* https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachme nt\_data/file/223050/incap\_decd\_recips\_0712.pdf (accessed 19<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014)
- 33 Disability News Service: Feb 2013: Unum bragged about 'driving government thinking' on incapacity benefit reform.

  (accessed 20<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014)

http://disabilitynewsservice.com/2013/02/unumbragged-aboutdriving-government-thinking-on-incapacity-benefit-reform/

34 BMA: 2012: July: Scrap WCA doctors demand at the annual representative meeting.

The British Medical Association (accessed 19<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) http://bma.org.uk/news-views-analysis/news/2012/june/scrapworkcapabilityassessment-doctorsdemand

35 RCN: 2013: April: The Royal College of Nurses Congress: Disability assessments:

The Royal College of Nursing (accessed 19<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) http://www.rcn.org.uk/newsevents/congress/2013/agenda/1disabilityasses sments

36 The Guardian: *Vulnerable man starved to death after benefits were cut* (accessed 19<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014)

http://www.theguardian.com/society/2014/feb/28/man-starved-to death-after-benefits-cut

37 Hate Crimes, England and Wales, 2013/14 p9 – Home Office Statistical Bulletin

 $https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_d ata/file/364198/hosb0214.pdf$ 

- 38 HOC 1999: Dec *Permanent Health Insurance debate; Westminster Hall, 21st December 1999.* Unum Insurance exposed during debate. http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm199900/cmhansrd/vo9912 21/halltext/91221h01.htm#91221h01 head0. (accessed 18th Dec 2014)
- 39 Mental Welfare Commission for Scotland: Who benefits? The benefits assessment and death of Mrs DE. Investigative report.

  (accessed 26<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014)

http://www.mwcscot.org.uk/media/180939/who\_benefits\_final.pdf

40 Stewart M 2014: UK Government refuse to accept responsibility for crimes against humanity. (accessed 26<sup>th</sup> Dec 2014) http://disabilitystudies.leeds.ac.uk/files/library/GVT%20refuses%20re sponsibility%20fo r%20crimes%

20against%20humanity%20-%20FINAL%20-%203rd%20May.pdf

(Word count 2891)